Leave to the state of the state

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450

**ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450** 

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER





IFW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,456	07/09/2003	Frank M. Zizzamia	098056/00141	1033
7590 08/24/2009 Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP		EXAMINER		
919 Third Aver	rd Avenue weis, samuel		AMUEL	
New York, NY	10022	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3695	
		• .		
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
	0		08/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/616,456	ZIZZAMIA ET AL.	ZIZZAMIA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SETH WEIS	3695				
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply</li> </ul>	ation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC I. by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION.  reply be timely filed  INTHS from the mailing date of this communical  REANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 00 tulu 2002	•				
· <u></u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims			`			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> is/are pending in the app	plication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		:				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		+				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,	٠.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	•				
	The state of the s					
Application Papers	· · ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	·		1(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 25 LLS C	\$ 110(a) (d) ar (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	Toreign priority under 35 0.5.6.	3 119(a)-(d) of (i).				
, ,	cuments have been received	·				
3. Copies of the certified		received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	15 Mile #	transituad	-			
doc the attached detailed Office action is	or a list of the certified copies no	. received.				
· · ·	un inger inger					
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3695

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20 and 48-62 are drawn to a computerized method and system for determining the prospective profitability and productivity of a licensed professional.
- II. Claims 21-47 and 63-86 are drawn to a computerized method and system for determining the prospective profitability and productivity of a licensed insurance professional.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as calculating at least one of a loss ratio, frequency and lapse rate associated with each of said plurality of licensed insurance professionals based on said working data. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a

Art Unit: 3695

continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

Art Unit: 3695

10/01/0,40

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3695

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SETH WEIS whose telephone number is (571)272-1882. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Kyle can be reached on (571) 272-6746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

1.00

\*\*\* 3\*

Art Unit: 3695

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

/SETH WEIS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3695

/Charles R. Kyle/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3695